

**GRANT APPLICATIONS 2008/09
SCORING METHODOLOGY**

APPENDIX D

Category	1	3	5	Weighting	TCU	BCMC	PLAY@C	CHANGE	UTASS
Statutory/discretionary	Discretionary	Discretionary but essential to the delivery of statutory services	Statutory	6					
Links to corporate priorities	No links	Partially meets a corporate priority	Clearly delivers a corporate priority	6					
Performance Improvement	No links	Performance improvement (non-scorecard)	Scorecard or KLOE or Improvement Programme	4					
Evidence of need	Minimal evidence	Some evidence	Strong evidence	3					
Financial stability of organisation	Project will go ahead regardless	Project will partly go ahead	Project will not go ahead	3					
External funding leverage	< £10,000 and up to 50% of gross cost	>£10,000 and more than 50% of gross cost	>= £100,000	1					
Economic and environmental impact	Minimal impact	Reasonable impact	Strong impact	1					
Sustainability	Large revenue requirement or replacement required within 2 years	Minimal revenue requirement or replacement required within 5 years	Self financing or replacement required beyond 10 years	2					
Evidence of value for money	Weak	Reasonable	Strong	2					
Quality of life impact	Single ward or group impact	More than one ward or group affected	All wards or many groups affected	2					
Deprivation of locality	Not applicable	In top 40% most deprived	In top 20% most deprived						
Risk to project if grant is reduced or not approved	No impact	The outcomes of the project are reduced	Project is not delivered	1					
Equality and diversity	Is not accessible to one or more groups		Available to all irrespective of race, sex, marital status, disability, religion, age, sexual orientation or political belief	1					

